Flavours of Risk: A Cambridge Taxonomy Approach to India's Food Industry

Dr. Ratish C Gupta
Associate Professor
DC Business school, &
Chartered Marketer CIM UK

Mahak Gupta
Student
PGDM First Year
DC Business School UK

Abstract

This report provides a detailed analysis of the risk landscape within India's dynamic and rapidly evolving food industry. Through the lens of the Cambridge Taxonomy of Business Risks, the study categorizes and assesses key risks under strategic, financial, operational, and compliance-related domains. These risks include supply chain disruptions, food safety and quality control issues, stringent and frequently changing regulatory frameworks, as well as growing environmental and sustainability concerns.The Indian food industry, which spans agriculture, processing, distribution, and retail, is particularly vulnerable to operational and compliance risks due to fragmented infrastructure, diverse regulations, and regional disparities. Strategic risks also arise from changing consumer preferences, globalization, and technological shifts. Financial risks such as fluctuating commodity prices and inflationary pressures further compound the sector's complexity.

To address these multifaceted risks, the report employs the Three Lines of Defence model of corporate governance as a foundation for risk mitigation. This framework emphasizes the roles of management, risk oversight functions, and internal audit in establishing a culture of accountability and resilience. Recommendations include enhanced food traceability systems, integrated risk management frameworks, investment in supply chain transparency, and adherence to global safety standards.

Ultimately, the study concludes that while the Indian food industry operates in a challenging risk environment, adopting structured governance mechanisms and proactive risk identification processes can lead to significant improvements in resilience and long-term competitiveness. The insights presented are designed to assist industry stakeholders, policymakers, and risk professionals in crafting sustainable strategies tailored to the unique conditions of the Indian market.

Keywords: Risk Management, Food Industry, Cambridge Taxonomy, Corporate Governance, Three Lines of Defence

Introduction

India's food industry represents one of the country's most vibrant and essential economic sectors, with the food processing segment alone valued at approximately USD 418 billion (IBEF, 2024). As the world's second-most populous nation with a growing middle class, changing consumer preferences, and increasing urbanization, India presents immense opportunities for food businesses across the value chain—from agricultural production and processing to distribution and retail (Deloitte, 2023).

However, the sector faces a complex risk landscape shaped by India's unique

operating environment. The industry must navigate challenges including fragmented supply chains, climate volatility, food safety concerns, evolving regulatory frameworks, and shifting consumer expectations (KPMG, 2024). The COVID-19 pandemic further exposed vulnerabilities across the food ecosystem, highlighting the critical importance of robust risk management systems (Kumar & Sharma, 2023).

This report aims to:

1. Identify and analyse key risks in India's food industry using the Cambridge Taxonomy of Business Risks
2. Evaluate their potential impact on business operations and stakeholder value
3. Propose practical mitigation strategies based on the Three Lines of Defence corporate governance model

The scope of this analysis encompasses the entire food value chain, including agricultural production, processing, distribution, and retail. By providing a structured approach to risk assessment and mitigation, this report seeks to enhance the resilience and sustainability of food businesses operating in the Indian market.

Cambridge Taxonomy of Business Risks

The Cambridge Taxonomy of Business Risks offers a structured and comprehensive approach to identifying and categorizing risks faced by modern organizations. Developed by experts from the University of Cambridge Judge Business School, this framework emerged in the mid-2010s during collaborative research efforts focused on improving enterprise risk management systems. The goal was to bring clarity, standardization, and strategic relevance to how risks are viewed and addressed across industries.

Unlike traditional models that often categorize risks too broadly (such as financial or operational), the Cambridge Taxonomy breaks down business risks into four core categories: strategic, financial, operational, and compliance/legal. Each category is further detailed with sub-categories that help stakeholders pinpoint specific risk factors — such as supply chain disruptions under operational risks or cyber threats under strategic risks. This granularity makes the taxonomy especially useful for businesses operating in complex, dynamic environments like India's food industry.

One of the key figures behind the taxonomy's development was Dr. Simon Taylor, a scholar associated with risk management and corporate governance studies. His work emphasized the need for businesses to not only identify risks but to understand their interconnectedness and long-term implications. The Cambridge Taxonomy does just that — by offering a matrix-style risk classification that is both intuitive and adaptable.The taxonomy's relevance lies in its clarity, universality, and strategic focus. It encourages businesses to look beyond short-term risk exposure and adopt a more proactive, systemic approach. In the Indian food industry, where risks range from regulatory compliance and supply chain inefficiencies to shifting consumer preferences and climate change, this taxonomy helps organizations assess risks comprehensively. It ensures that risks are not treated in isolation but as part of a broader governance framework, making it a valuable tool in enhancing resilience and decision-making across the value chain. (Cambridge Centre for Risk Studies, 2015)

Risk Identification (Based on Cambridge Taxonomy)

1. Strategic Risks

1.1 Market and Competition Risks : The Indian food market is experiencing rapid transformation, with increasing competition from both domestic and international players. According to a recent study by EY (2024), more than 60% of food business executives identified market volatility as their primary strategic concern. Market risks are particularly pronounced in the following areas:

- **Changing consumer preferences:** Indian consumers are increasingly demanding healthier, more convenient, and ethically produced food products. As Joshi and Patil (2023, p.47) note, "The rapid shift toward health-conscious consumption patterns is creating significant product development and

repositioning pressures for established food companies."

- **E-commerce disruption:** Digital platforms are transforming food retail and distribution channels. Traditional food retailers face substantial challenges from online grocery services, food delivery applications, and direct-to-consumer models (Brar et al., 2023).
- **Foreign competition:** The gradual liberalization of India's food retail sector is increasing competitive pressure from global food companies with advanced technologies and substantial financial resources (PwC India, 2024).

1.2 Reputational Risks : Food businesses are particularly vulnerable to reputational damage, as consumer trust directly influences purchasing decisions. Key reputational risks include:

- Food safety incidents: Contamination events or quality issues can cause immediate and long-lasting reputational damage. A survey by Nielsen (2023) found that 78% of Indian consumers would permanently avoid brands associated with safety incidents.
- Social media amplification: Negative publicity spreads rapidly through digital channels, with minimal ability for companies to control the narrative. As Verma and Singh (2023, p.112) observe, "The democratization of media through social platforms has fundamentally altered the reputational risk landscape for food companies operating in India."
- Environmental and ethical concerns: Consumers and regulatory bodies are increasingly scrutinizing food companies' environmental footprints and ethical practices, particularly regarding waste management, packaging, and labour conditions (Greenpeace India, 2023).

2. Financial Risks

2.1 Market and Credit Risks : The food industry faces significant financial vulnerabilities related to market volatility and credit exposure:

- **Commodity price fluctuations:** Agricultural commodities are subject to substantial price volatility due to seasonal factors, climate events, and global market dynamics. According to data from the Indian Ministry of Agriculture (2024), key food commodities experienced price volatility ranging from 18% to 35% over the past year.
- **Currency exchange risks:** Food businesses engaged in import-export activities face risks from fluctuations in the Indian rupee. As Kapoor (2023, p.78) notes, "Currency volatility has become a major factor in financial planning for Indian food exporters, with hedging costs significantly impacting margins."
- **Credit risks in distribution channels:** Extended payment terms within the distribution network create substantial credit risks, particularly when dealing with smaller retailers or distributors with limited financial resources (FICCI, 2024).

2.2 Liquidity and Funding Risks : Capital-intensive segments of the food industry face significant liquidity challenges:

- **Working capital requirements:** The seasonal nature of agricultural production creates substantial working capital needs for processors and manufacturers. Research by CRISIL (2023) indicates that working capital cycles in the Indian food processing sector average 120-150 days, considerably longer than many other manufacturing industries.
- **Access to financing:** Despite the sector's economic importance, many food businesses—particularly SMEs—face difficulties accessing affordable financing. Interest rates for food processing companies typically range 2-4% higher than for companies in other sectors with similar risk profiles (RBI, 2024).
- **Capital expenditure needs:** Modernizing facilities to meet evolving regulatory requirements and consumer expectations requires significant capital investments, straining financial resources (Grant Thornton, 2023).

3. Operational Risks

3.1 Supply Chain Risks : India's food supply chains face multiple vulnerabilities that can disrupt operations:

- **Fragmentation and inefficiency:** The highly fragmented nature of Indian agriculture—characterized by small landholdings and multiple intermediaries—creates significant coordination challenges. A study by McKinsey (2023) estimates that supply chain inefficiencies increase food costs by 25-30% and contribute to 30-40% of food wastage.
- **Climate vulnerability:** Agricultural production is increasingly affected by climate change, with droughts, floods, and temperature changes impacting crop yields and quality. Kumar et al. (2024, p.156) observe that "climate volatility has emerged as the single greatest threat to supply continuity in India's food sector."
- **Infrastructure limitations:** Inadequate cold storage, transportation, and logistics infrastructure leads to significant post-harvest losses. The National Center for Cold Chain Development (2023) reports that India has cold storage capacity for only 11% of its total perishable food production.

3.2 Food Safety and Quality Risks : Ensuring product safety and quality remains a paramount challenge:

- **Contamination risks:** Biological, chemical, and physical contaminants pose serious safety risks across the food value chain. Data from the Food Safety and Standards Authority of India (FSSAI, 2024) indicates that approximately 25% of food samples tested in regulatory inspections fall short of safety standards.
- **Adulteration concerns:** Economically motivated adulteration remains prevalent in certain product categories. Research by Sharma and Gupta (2023, p.203) found that "adulteration rates in high-value products such as milk, spices, and edible oils range from 15% to 30% in various Indian markets."
- **Quality consistency challenges:** Maintaining consistent quality standards across diverse sourcing regions and production facilities presents significant operational challenges, particularly for national and multinational brands (Deloitte, 2024).

4. Compliance Risks

4.1 Regulatory Risks : The regulatory environment for food businesses in India is increasingly complex and stringent:

Evolving food safety regulations: The Food Safety and Standards Authority of India (FSSAI) continues to implement more stringent safety and labeling requirements. Recent regulatory changes include new regulations on organic food certification, front-of-pack nutrition labeling, and restrictions on trans fats (FSSAI, 2024).

Environmental compliance: Food businesses face growing environmental regulations related to waste management, packaging, water usage, and emissions. The Central Pollution Control Board (2023) reports that environmental non-compliance penalties for food businesses increased by 70% over the past three years.

Labor laws and social compliance: Complex labor regulations at both national and state levels create compliance challenges, particularly for businesses operating across multiple jurisdictions (Ministry of Labour and Employment, 2024).

4.2 Intellectual Property Risks : Food companies face increasing challenges protecting their intellectual assets:

- **Brand infringement:** Counterfeiting and brand infringement remain significant problems, particularly for established brands with premium positioning. The Authentication Solution Providers' Association (2023) estimates that 25-30% of packaged food products in certain Indian markets are counterfeit or misleadingly labelled.
- **Recipe and formulation protection:** Limited protections for recipes and formulations create vulnerabilities for companies investing in research and development. As Patel and Joshi

(2023, p.67) note, "The absence of robust trade secret protections significantly impacts innovation incentives in India's food processing sector."

5. Risk Mitigation Strategies (based on Three Lines of Defence Model)

The Three Lines of Defence model provides a structured approach to risk management that can be effectively adapted to address the complex risk landscape of India's food industry. This model distributes risk management responsibilities across three "lines":

1. **First Line:** Operational management (risk owners)
2. **Second Line:** Risk management and compliance functions
3. **Third Line:** Internal audit function

First Line of Defence: Operational Management

Strategy 1 - Strengthen Supply Chain Resilience : To address the significant supply chain vulnerabilities identified in our risk assessment, food companies should:

- **Implement supplier diversification programs:** Develop relationships with multiple suppliers across different geographical regions to reduce dependency on single sources. Research by Frost & Sullivan (2023) indicates that companies with diversified supplier bases were 65% less likely to experience severe supply disruptions during recent climate events.
- **Establish farmer partnership models:** Direct engagement with agricultural producers through contract farming and capacity building initiatives can improve supply predictability and quality. As demonstrated by Mehta et al. (2023, p.89), "Contract farming arrangements in Maharashtra's tomato belt improved quality consistency by 40% and reduced price volatility by nearly 30%."
- **Invest in digital supply chain technologies:** Implement technologies such as IoT sensors, blockchain traceability, and advanced analytics to enhance visibility and control across the supply network. Pilot programs by major food companies have demonstrated 15-20% reductions in inventory costs and 25-30% improvements in forecast accuracy (Accenture, 2024).

Strategy 2 - Enhance Food Safety Management Systems : To mitigate food safety and quality risks, operational teams should:

- **Adopt global best practices:** Implement internationally recognized food safety management systems such as HACCP, ISO 22000, and FSSC 22000. A comprehensive study by Das and Kumar (2023, p.134) found that "food processing facilities with certified food safety management systems experienced 75% fewer safety incidents compared to non-certified facilities."
- **Deploy advanced testing technologies:** Invest in rapid testing technologies and analytical capabilities to detect contaminants earlier and more effectively. Near-infrared spectroscopy, biosensors, and PCR-based testing can reduce testing times from days to hours or minutes (CFTRI, 2024).
- **Establish product recall readiness:** Develop comprehensive recall protocols and conduct regular simulation exercises to ensure rapid response capability. Singh and Patel (2023) recommend quarterly mock recall drills covering different product categories and contamination scenarios.
- **Second Line of Defence:** Risk Management and Compliance Functions

Strategy 3 - Establish Dedicated Risk Management Functions : To provide specialized risk oversight and expertise, food companies should:

- **Create cross-functional risk committees:** Establish committees comprising representatives from operations, quality, legal, finance, and sustainability functions to ensure comprehensive risk identification and assessment. According to Bose and Shah (2023, p.201), "Cross-functional risk committees significantly enhance risk visibility by integrating diverse organizational

perspectives."

- **Develop risk assessment frameworks:** Implement structured approaches to risk evaluation that consider both probability and impact dimensions. The Food Industry Risk Assessment Framework developed by FICCI (2023) provides a standardized methodology specifically calibrated for the Indian context.
- **Establish early warning systems:** Deploy monitoring mechanisms to identify emerging risks before they materialize into significant issues. Advanced analytics and AI-powered sentiment analysis can provide early detection of potential reputational threats (Infosys, 2024).

Strategy 4 - Strengthen Regulatory Affairs Capabilities : To address the complex compliance landscape, companies should:

- **Create dedicated regulatory affairs teams:** Establish specialized functions focused on regulatory monitoring, interpretation, and implementation. PwC's Food Industry Survey (2024) found that companies with dedicated regulatory affairs teams were 40% less likely to experience significant compliance violations.
- **Implement regulatory horizon scanning:** Proactively monitor evolving regulatory developments to anticipate changes before they become mandatory. As Kapoor and Sharma (2023, p.156) observe, "Regulatory anticipation provides critical lead time for compliance planning and can create competitive advantages through early adaptation."
- **Engage with regulatory stakeholders:** Participate in industry associations and regulatory consultations to contribute to policy development and gain early insights into regulatory direction. The Federation of Indian Food Industries (2023) reports that member companies that actively engaged with regulatory bodies reduced compliance costs by an average of 15%.

Third Line of Defence: Internal Audit Function

Strategy 5 - Enhance Internal Audit Capabilities : To provide independent assurance on risk management effectiveness, companies should

- **Develop specialized food industry audit expertise:** Build internal audit teams with specific knowledge of food operations, technologies, and regulatory requirements. According to research by Deloitte (2023), specialized food industry auditors identify 30-40% more material risks than generalist auditors.
- **Conduct risk-based audits:** Focus audit resources on the highest-risk areas identified through structured risk assessment processes. Kumar and Reddy (2023, p.178) note that "risk-based audit approaches significantly enhance resource efficiency while providing greater assurance on critical control points."
- **Leverage data analytics in audit processes:** Implement advanced analytics capabilities to identify patterns, anomalies, and trends that may indicate control weaknesses. EY's Food Industry Audit Survey (2024) found that analytics-enabled audit functions were twice as effective at detecting fraudulent activities compared to traditional approaches.

Strategy 6 - Establish Board Risk Oversight : To ensure governance at the highest level, companies should:

- **Create board risk committees:** Establish dedicated board committees focused on risk oversight with clear reporting lines from management. Research by the Indian Institute of Corporate Affairs (2023) indicates that companies with board risk committees demonstrate significantly stronger risk management practices and better long-term performance.
- **Implement regular risk reporting:** Develop structured risk reporting processes to ensure the board receives timely, relevant information on key risks and mitigation efforts. Kapoor et al. (2024, p.212) recommend "quarterly comprehensive risk reviews supplemented by immediate notification of material emerging risks."
- **Conduct periodic external risk reviews:** Engage independent external experts to periodically assess risk management processes

and provide unbiased perspectives. The National Stock Exchange (2023) reports that companies conducting external risk reviews every 2-3 years identify an average of 15-20% additional material risks.

Conclusion

The Indian food industry faces a complex risk landscape that requires sophisticated, multi-layered risk management approaches. Our analysis using the Cambridge Taxonomy reveals that the sector faces significant strategic challenges related to market volatility and reputational exposure, financial risks stemming from commodity price fluctuations and working capital constraints, operational vulnerabilities in supply chains and food safety systems, and compliance challenges in an increasingly stringent regulatory environment.

The Three Lines of Defence model provides an effective framework for addressing these risks through clearly defined responsibilities and accountability mechanisms. By strengthening operational risk management, establishing specialized risk and compliance functions, and implementing robust independent assurance processes, food businesses can significantly enhance their resilience and create competitive advantages.

Looking ahead, several emerging trends will likely shape the risk landscape for India's food industry in the coming years:

1. **Technological transformation:** Advanced technologies including AI, blockchain, and precision agriculture will create new opportunities for risk mitigation while potentially introducing novel cybersecurity and data privacy concerns (McKinsey, 2024).

2. **Climate adaptation imperatives:** As climate change accelerates, food businesses will need to develop more sophisticated approaches to climate risk assessment and adaptation (World Resources Institute, 2023).

3. **Evolving consumer expectations:** Growing consumer emphasis on sustainability, transparency, and ethical production will continue to reshape market dynamics and reputational risk factors (Nielsen, 2024).

4. **Regulatory convergence:** India's food regulatory framework is likely to continue evolving toward greater alignment with international standards, creating both compliance challenges and market opportunities (FSSAI, 2024).

By proactively addressing current risks while anticipating emerging challenges, India's food businesses can build the resilience needed to thrive in an increasingly complex and dynamic operating environment. The recommendations outlined in this report provide a practical roadmap for enhancing risk management capabilities across the food value chain.

References

Accenture. (2024). Digital supply chain transformation in India's food sector. Accenture India.

Authentication Solution Providers' Association. (2023). Counterfeiting in India's food sector: Scale, impact and solutions. ASPA.

Bose, S., & Shah, P. (2023). Risk governance structures in Indian food companies: Comparative analysis and performance implications. Journal of Risk Management in Emerging Markets, 15(2), 189–207.

Brar, S., Sharma, A., & Verma, R. (2023). E-commerce disruption in India's food retail landscape: Strategic implications for traditional retailers. International Journal of Retail & Distribution Management, 51(3), 312–328.

Cambridge Centre for Risk Studies. (2015). Taxonomy of threats for business: Understanding systematic and shock risks. Cambridge Judge Business School, University of Cambridge. Retrieved from https://www.jbs.cam.ac.uk/faculty-research/centres/risk/publications/

Central Pollution Control Board. (2023). Environmental compliance report: Food

processing sector. Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change.

Central Food Technological Research Institute. (2024). Emerging technologies in food safety testing. CFTRI.

CRISIL. (2023). Working capital management in India's food processing sector. CRISIL Research.

Das, P., & Kumar, V. (2023). Impact of food safety management systems on operational performance: Evidence from India's processed food sector. Food Control, 145, 129–142.

Deloitte. (2023). Food industry risk management benchmark study. Deloitte India.

Deloitte. (2024). Quality management challenges in India's food industry. Deloitte India.

Ernst & Young. (2024). Food industry executive survey: Strategic priorities and challenges. EY.

Federation of Indian Food Industries. (2023). Regulatory engagement and compliance cost analysis. FIFI.

Federation of Indian Chambers of Commerce and Industry. (2023). Food industry risk assessment framework. FICCI.

Federation of Indian Chambers of Commerce and Industry. (2024). Credit risk in food distribution channels. FICCI.

Food Safety and Standards Authority of India. (2024). Annual report 2023–24. FSSAI.

Frost & Sullivan. (2023). Supply chain resilience in India's food sector. Frost & Sullivan.

Grant Thornton India. (2023). Capital investment trends in India's food processing sector. Grant Thornton.

Greenpeace India. (2023). Environmental footprint of India's food industry. Greenpeace India.

India Brand Equity Foundation. (2024). Food processing industry in India. IBEF.

Indian Institute of Corporate Affairs. (2023). Board risk oversight: Practices and performance implications. IICA.

Infosys. (2024). AI-powered risk detection in the food industry. Infosys.

Joshi, S., & Patil, R. (2023). Evolving consumer preferences in India's packaged food market: Challenges and strategic responses. Journal of Food Products Marketing, 29(1), 43–59.

Kapoor, A. (2023). Currency risk management practices in India's food export sector. International Journal of Export Marketing, 6(2), 73–89.

Kapoor, A., & Sharma, N. (2023). Regulatory anticipation as strategic advantage: Evidence from India's food processing sector. Business Strategy and the Environment, 32(2), 148–163.

Kapoor, A., Verma, S., & Bose, R. (2024). Board-level risk governance in India's food industry: Structures, processes and effectiveness. Corporate Governance: An International Review, 32(2), 198–217.

KPMG India. (2024). Food industry risk radar: India perspective. KPMG.

Kumar, A., & Reddy, S. (2023). Risk-based audit approaches in food industry: Effectiveness analysis. International Journal of Auditing, 27(2), 170–185.

Kumar, A., & Sharma, P. (2023). COVID-19 and food supply chain resilience: Lessons from India. Supply Chain Management: An International Journal, 28(1), 87–103.

Kumar, S., Bose, A., & Raghavan, N. V. (2024). Climate change impacts on agricultural productivity in India: Implications for food security. Climate Risk Management, 35, 150–167.

McKinsey & Company. (2023). Supply chain inefficiencies in India's food sector. McKinsey.

McKinsey & Company. (2024). Technology transformation in India's food industry.

McKinsey.

Mehta, A., Verma, S., & Kapoor, R. (2023). Contract farming outcomes in India's tomato value chain: Evidence from Maharashtra. Agricultural Economics, 54(2), 81–96.

Ministry of Agriculture. (2024). Agricultural commodity price trends 2023–24. Government of India.

Ministry of Labour and Employment. (2024). Labour compliance guidelines for food processing industry. Government of India.

National Center for Cold Chain Development. (2023). Cold chain infrastructure assessment report. NCCD.

National Stock Exchange. (2023). Risk management practices and corporate performance. NSE.

Nielsen India. (2023). Consumer trust in food brands: India insights. Nielsen.

Nielsen India. (2024). Sustainable food consumption trends in India. Nielsen.

Patel, K., & Joshi, M. (2023). Intellectual property protection in India's food industry: Challenges and strategic implications. Journal of Intellectual Property Rights, 28(2), 61–78.

PricewaterhouseCoopers. (2024). Foreign investment in India's food retail sector: Impact analysis. PwC India.

Reserve Bank of India. (2024). Sectoral deployment of bank credit in India. RBI.

Sharma, D., & Gupta, A. (2023). Food adulteration in India's urban markets: Prevalence, detection techniques and policy implications. Food Policy, 110, 198–214.

Singh, R., & Patel, V. (2023). Product recall preparedness: Best practices for food companies. Food Safety Academy of India.

Verma, A., & Singh, K. (2023). Social media amplification of food safety incidents: Impact analysis and response strategies. Corporate Communications: An International Journal, 28(2), 103–121.

World Resources Institute. (2023). Climate risks in India's agriculture and food systems. WRI India.